Phase transition in site-diluted Josephson junction arrays: A numerical study

Jian-Ping Lv,¹ Huan Liu,¹ and Qing-Hu Chen^{2,1[,*](#page-5-0)}
¹Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China

2 *Center for Statistical and Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004,*

People's Republic of China

Received 12 November 2008; revised manuscript received 15 January 2009; published 16 March 2009-

We numerically investigate the intriguing effects produced by random percolative disorder in twodimensional Josephson junction arrays. By dynamic scaling analysis, we evaluate critical temperatures and critical exponents with high accuracy. It is observed that with the introduction of site-diluted disorder, the Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition is eliminated and evolves into a continuous transition with power-law divergent correlation length. Moreover, genuine depinning transition and creep motion are studied; evidence for distinct creep motion types is provided. Our results not only are in good agreement with the recent experimental findings but also shed some light on the relevant phase transitions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.79.104512](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.104512)

PACS number(s): 74.81.Fa, 68.35.Rh, 47.32.C-

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the critical behavior of Josephson junction arrays (JJAs) with various disorders is always a challenging issue and has been intensely studied in recent years. $1-10$ However, the properties of different phases and various phase transitions are not well understood. Josephson junction arrays give an excellent realization to both two-dimensional (2D) *XY* model and granular high- T_c superconductors.¹¹ As we know, the pure JJAs undergo the celebrated Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) phase transition from the superconducting state to the normal one; this transition is driven by the unbinding of thermally activated topological defects.¹² When the disorder is introduced, the interplays among the repulsive vortex-vortex interaction, the periodic pinning potential caused by the discreteness of the arrays, and the defects produced by the disorder provide a rich physical picture.

In site-diluted JJAs, the crosses around the randomly selected sites are removed from the square lattice. Since it is a representative model for realizing the irregular JJAs systems, how the percolation influences the physical properties of JJAs has attracted considerable attention[.1–](#page-5-1)[4](#page-5-2)[,9](#page-6-3) Harris *et al.*[1](#page-5-1) introduced random percolative disorder into Nb-Au-Nb proximity-coupled junctions, the current-voltage $(I-V)$ characteristics were measured, and the results demonstrated that the only difference of the phase transition compared with that in ideal JJAs system is the decrease in critical temperature, while the transition type still belongs to the KT one with the disorder strength spanning from $p=0.7$ to $p=1.0$ (here $1-p$ is the fraction of diluted sites). However, in a recent experiment, Yun *et al.*[9](#page-6-3) showed that the phase transition changes into a non-KT-type one when the disorder strength increases to a moderate value $(p=0.86)$. Therefore, the existence of the KT-type phase transition in site-diluted JJAs remains a topic of controversy; the nature of these phase transitions and the various phases is not clear.

On the other hand, much effort has been devoted to the zero-temperature depinning transition (ZTDT) and the related low-temperature creep motion (LTCM) both theoretically^{13[–15](#page-6-5)} and numerically^{16[–18](#page-6-7)} in a large variety of physical problems, such as charge-density waves,¹³ randomfield Ising model, 16 and flux lines in type-II superconductors.^{17,[18](#page-6-7)} Since the nonlinear dynamic response is a striking problem, there is increasing interest in its properties and characteristics, especially in the flux lines of type-II superconductors. $17,18$ $17,18$ In a recent numerical study on the three-dimensional glass states of flux lines, Arrhenius creep motion was observed at a strong collective pinning, while the non-Arrhenius creep motion was demonstrated at a weak collective pinning.¹⁷

In this work, we numerically study the finite-temperature phase transition (FTPT) in site-diluted JJAs at different percolative disorder strengths, the ZTDT and the LTCM are also investigated. The outline of this paper is as follows. Section [II](#page-0-0) describes the model and the numerical method briefly. In Sec. [III,](#page-1-0) we present the main results, where some discussions are also made. Section [IV](#page-5-3) gives a short summary of the main conclusions.

II. MODEL AND SIMULATION METHOD

JJAs can be described by the 2D *XY* model on a simple square lattice; the Hamiltonian reads^{19[,20](#page-6-10)}

$$
H = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} \cos(\phi_i - \phi_j - A_{ij}), \qquad (1)
$$

where the sum is over all the nearest-neighboring pairs on a 2D square lattice, J_{ij} denotes the strength of Josephson coupling between site *i* and site *j*, ϕ_i specifies the phase of the superconducting order parameter on site *i*, *Aij* $=(2\pi/\Phi_0)\int \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ is the integral of magnetic vector potential from site *i* to site *j*, and Φ_0 denotes the flux quantum. The direct sum of A_{ij} around an elementary plaquette is $2\pi f$, with *f* as the magnetic flux penetrating each plaquette produced by the uniformly applied field, which is measured in units of Φ_0 . $f=0$ and $f=2/5$ are the focuses of this paper. The system sizes are selected as 128×128 for $f = 0$ and 100×100 for *f* $= 2/5$, where the finite-size effects are negligible. We introduce the site-diluted disorder similar to the previous experiments.^{1[,9](#page-6-3)} We first select the diluted sites randomly with the probability $1-p$, then remove the nearest four bonds around the selected sites from the lattice. The distributions of

FIG. 1. *I*-*V* characteristics for different frustrations and disorder strengths. The dashed lines are drawn to show where the phase transition occurs, the slopes of which are equal to $z+1$, and z is the dynamic exponent. The transition temperature and dynamic exponent for (a) are well consistent with the well-known result, i.e., $T_c = 0.894$, $z = 2.0$, for (b)–(d) they are well consistent with those determined by FFH dynamic scaling analysis. Solid lines are just guide for the eyes.

the diluted sites are the same for all the samples considered. The percolative threshold concentration p_c is about 0.592.²¹

The resistivity-shunted-junction (RSJ) dynamics is incorporated in the simulations, which can be described $as^{20,22}$ $as^{20,22}$ $as^{20,22}$

$$
\frac{\sigma \hbar}{2e} \sum_{j} (\dot{\phi}_{i} - \dot{\phi}_{j}) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_{i}} + J_{\text{ex},i} - \sum_{j} \eta_{ij}, \tag{2}
$$

where σ is the normal conductivity, $J_{\text{ex},i}$ refers to the external current, η_{ij} denotes the thermal noise current with $\langle \eta_{ij}(t) \rangle$ $= 0$, and $\langle \eta_{ij}(t) \eta_{ij}(t') \rangle = 2 \sigma k_B T \delta(t - t').$

The fluctuating twist boundary condition is applied in the *xy* plane to maintain the current; thus the new phase angle $\theta_i = \phi_i + r_i \cdot \Delta [\Delta = (\Delta_x, \Delta_y)$ is the twist variable is periodic in each direction. In this way, supercurrent between site *i* and site *j* is given by $J_{i\rightarrow j}^s = J_{ij} \sin(\theta_i - \theta_j - A_{ij} - r_{ij} \cdot \Delta)$, and the dynamics of Δ_{α} can be written as

$$
\dot{\Delta}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle \alpha} \left[J_{i \to j} + \eta_{ij} \right] - I_{\alpha},\tag{3}
$$

where α denotes the *x* or *y* direction and the voltage drop in α direction is $V = -L\dot{\Delta}_{\alpha}$. For convenience, units are taken as $2e=\hbar=J_0=\sigma=k_B=1$ in the following. The above equations can be solved efficiently by a pseudospectral algorithm due to the periodicity of phase in all directions. The time stepping is done using a second-order Runge-Kutta scheme with $\Delta t = 0.05$. Our runs are typically $(4-8) \times 10^7$ time steps and the latter half time steps are for the measurements. The detailed procedure in the simulations was described in Refs. [20](#page-6-10) and [22.](#page-6-12) In this work, a uniform external current *I* along the *x* direction is fed into the system.

Since RSJ simulations with direct numerical integrations of stochastic equations of motion are very time consuming, it is practically difficult to perform any serious disorder averaging in the present rather large systems. Our results are based on one realization of disorder. For these very large samples, a good self-averaging effect is expected to exist, which is confirmed by two additional simulations with different realizations of disorder. This point is also supported by a recent study of JJAs by Um *et al.*[8](#page-6-13) In addition, simulations with different initial states are performed and the results are nearly the same. Actually, the hysteretic phenomenon is usually negligible in previous RSJ dynamical simulations on JJAs[.7](#page-6-14)[,8](#page-6-13) For these reasons, the results from simulations with a unique initial state (random phases in this work) are accurate and convincing.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Finite-temperature phase transition

The *I*-*V* characteristics are measured at different disorder strengths and temperatures. At each temperature, we try to probe the system at a current as low as possible. To check the method used in this work, we investigate the *I*-*V* characteristics for $f=0$ and $p=1.0$ $p=1.0$ $p=1.0$. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the slope of the *I*-*V* curve in log-log plot at the transition temperature

 $T_c (\approx 0.894)$ is equal to 3, demonstrating that the *I*-*V* index jumps from 3 to 1, consistent with the well-known fact that the pure JJAs experience a KT-type phase transition at T_c \approx 0.894. Figures [1](#page-1-1)(b) and 1(c) show the *I*-*V* traces at different disorder strengths in unfrustrated JJAs, while Fig. $1(d)$ $1(d)$ is for $f = 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$. It is clear that at lower temperatures, $R = V/I$ tends to zero as the current decreases, which follows that there is a true superconducting phase with zero linear resistivity.

It is crucial to use a powerful scaling method to analyze the *I*-*V* characteristics. In this paper, we adopt the Fisher-Fisher-Huse (FFH) dynamic scaling method, which provides an excellent approach to analyze the superconducting phase transition[.23](#page-6-15) If the properly scaled *I*-*V* curves collapse onto two scaling curves above and below the transition temperature, a continuous superconducting phase transition is ensured. Such a method is widely used; $6,24$ $6,24$ the scaling form of which in 2D is

$$
V = I\xi^{-z}\psi_{\pm}(I\xi),\tag{4}
$$

where $\psi_{+(-)}(x)$ is the scaling function above (below) T_c , *z* is the dynamic exponent, ξ is the correlation length, and *V* \sim I^{z+1} at $T=T_c$.

Assuming that the transition is continuous and characterized by the divergence of the characteristic length $\xi \sim |T|$ $-T_c$ ^{|-*v*} and time scale $t \sim \xi^z$, FFH dynamic scaling takes the following form:

$$
(V/I)|T - T_c|^{-z\nu} = \psi_{\pm}(I|T - T_c|^{-\nu}).
$$
\n(5)

On the other hand, to certify a KT-type phase transition in JJAs, a new scaling form 25 is proposed as follows:

$$
(I/T)(I/V)^{1/z} = P_{\pm}(I\xi/T),\tag{6}
$$

which can be derived directly from Eq. (4) (4) (4) after some simple algebra. The correlation length of KT-type phase transition above T_c is well defined as $\xi \sim e^{(c/|T-T_c|)^{1/2}}$ and Eq. ([6](#page-2-1)) is rewritten as

$$
(I/T)(I/V)^{1/z} = P_{+}(Ie^{(c/|T-T_c|)^{1/2}}/T). \tag{7}
$$

We perform the dynamic scaling analysis at a strong disorder ($p=0.65$) in unfrustrated system ($f=0$). Using T_c $= 0.24 \pm 0.01$, $z = 1.2 \pm 0.02$, and $\nu = 1.0 \pm 0.02$, an excellent collapse is achieved according to Eq. (5) (5) (5) , which is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-3) In addition, all the low-temperature *I*-*V* curves can be fitted to $V \sim I \exp[-(\alpha/I)^{\mu}]$ with $\mu = 0.9 - 1.1$. These results certify a continuous superconducting phase with long-range phase coherence. The critical temperature for such a strongly disordered system is very close to that in 2D gauge glass model $(T_c=0.22).^{26}$ $(T_c=0.22).^{26}$ $(T_c=0.22).^{26}$

For $f=0$ and $p=0.86$, we first still adopt the scaling form in Eq. (5) (5) (5) to investigate the *I*-*V* characteristics. As displayed in Fig. [3,](#page-2-4) we get a good collapse for $T < T_c$ with T_c $= 0.58 \pm 0.01$, $z = 2.0 \pm 0.01$, and $\nu = 1.4 \pm 0.02$, demonstrating a superconducting phase with power-law divergent correlation for $T < T_c$. Note that the collapse is poor for $T > T_c$, implying that the phase transition is not a completely non-KT-type one. Next, we use the scaling form in Eq. (7) (7) (7) to analyze the *I*-*V* data above T_c . Interestingly, using $T_c = 0.58$

FIG. 2. Dynamic scaling of the *I*-*V* data at various temperatures according to Eq. (5) (5) (5) for $f=0$ and $p=0.65$.

and $z = 2.0$ as determined above, a good collapse for $T > T_c$ is achieved, which is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) That is to say, the *I*-*V* characteristics at $T < T_c$ are similar to those of a continuous phase transition with power-law divergent correlation length while at $T>T_c$ they are similar to those of KT-type phase transition, which are well consistent with the recent experimental observations.⁹

To make a comprehensive comparison with the experimental findings in Ref. [9,](#page-6-3) we also investigate the FTPT in frustrated JJAs $(f=2/5)$ at a strong site-diluted disorder (p) $= 0.65$). As shown in Fig. [5,](#page-3-1) a superconducting phase transition with power-law divergent correlation is clearly observed.

As is well known, non-KT-type phase transition in frustrated systems is a natural result. However, it is intriguing to see that in unfrustrated systems, one may ask what our results really imply and what is the mechanism. It has been revealed that in the presence of a strong random pinning

FIG. 3. Dynamic scaling of the *I*-*V* data at various temperatures according to Eq. ([5](#page-2-2)) for $f=0$, $p=0.86$, and $T\leq T_c$. Solid lines are just guide for the eyes.

FIG. 4. Dynamic scaling of the *I*-*V* data at various temperatures according to Eq. ([7](#page-2-5)) for $f=0$, $p=0.86$, and $T>T_c$. Solid lines are just guide for the eyes.

which is produced by random site dilutions, a breaking of ergodicity due to large energy barrier against vortex motion may allow enough vortices to experience a non-KT-type continuous transition.²⁷

Interestingly, we recover the phenomena in experiments by the present model and give some insights into the FTPT. More information on the low-temperature phase calls for further equilibrium Monte Carlo simulations as in Ref. [28.](#page-6-21) Table [I](#page-3-2) summarizes the critical temperatures at different frustrations and disorder strengths. One can find that the critical temperature in unfrustrated system decreases with increasing number of diluted sites.

The systems considered in our work are site-diluted JJAs, which are not the same as the bond-diluted JJAs in Refs. [3](#page-5-4) and [4.](#page-5-2) In bond-diluted systems the diluted bonds are randomly removed, while in the site-diluted systems, the diluted sites are randomly selected, then the nearest four bonds around the selected sites are removed. Although the JJAs in

FIG. 5. Dynamic scaling of the *I*-*V* data at various temperatures according to Eq. ([5](#page-2-2)) for $f = 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$.

TABLE I. Summary of T_c .

\boldsymbol{p}	$f=0$	$f = 2/5$	
0.95	0.85(2)	0.16(2)	
0.86	0.58(1)	0.13(1)	
0.7	0.27(2)	0.12(1)	
0.65	0.24(1)	0.14(1)	

Refs. [3](#page-5-4) and [4](#page-5-2) and the present work are diluted in different ways, it is interesting to note that the obtained exponents in FTPT are very close, possibly due to the similar disorder effect produced.

B. Depinning transition and creep motion

Next, we turn to the ZTDT and the LTCM for the typical site-diluted JJA systems mentioned above. Depinning can be described as a critical phenomenon with scaling law $V \sim (I)$ $-I_c$ ^{β}, demonstrating a transition from a pinned state below critical driving force I_c to a sliding state above I_c . The $(I$ $-I_c$) vs *V* traces at *T*=0 for *f*=0 and *p*=0.86, *f*=0 and *p* = 0.65, and $f = 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$ are displayed in Fig. [6;](#page-4-0) linear fittings of $log(I - I_c)$ vs log *V* curves are also shown as solid lines. As for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$, the depinning exponent β is determined to be 2.62 ± 0.1 and the critical current *I_c* is 0.302 ± 0.005 , while for the cases $f=0$ and $p=0.65$ and f $= 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$, the depinning exponents are evaluated to be 2.37 ± 0.1 and 2.27 ± 0.05 with the critical currents *I_c* $= 0.039 \pm 0.001$ and $I_c = 0.035 \pm 0.002$, respectively.

When the temperature increases slightly, creep motions can be observed. In the low-temperature regime, the *I*-*V* traces are rounded near the zero-temperature critical current due to thermal fluctuations. Fisher²⁹ first suggested to map such a phenomenon for the ferromagnet in magnetic field where the second-order phase transition occurs. This mapping was then extended to the random-field Ising model¹⁶ and the flux lines in type-II superconductors.¹⁷ For the flux lines in type-II superconductors, if the voltage is identified as the order parameter, the current and the temperature are taken as the inverse temperature and the field, respectively, analogous to the second-order phase transition in the ferromagnet, the voltage, and current, and the temperature will satisfy the following scaling ansatz:^{17[,26](#page-6-19)}

$$
V(T, I) = T^{1/\delta} S[(1 - I_c/I)T^{-1/\beta\delta}],
$$
\n(8)

where $S(x)$ is a scaling function. The relation $V(T, I=I_c)$ $=S(0)T^{1/\delta}$ can be easily derived at $I = I_c$, by which the critical current I_c and the critical exponent δ can be determined through the linear fitting of the log T -log V curve at I_c .

The log *T*-log *V* curves are plotted in Fig. [7](#page-4-1)(a) for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$. We can observe that the critical current is between 0.3 and 0.32. In order to locate the critical current precisely, we calculate other values of voltage at current within $(0.3, 0.32)$ with a current step of 0.01 by quadratic interpolation[.26](#page-6-19) Deviation of the *T*-*V* curves from the power law is calculated as the square deviations $(SD) = \sum [V(T)]$ $-y(T)$ ² between the temperature range we calculated; here

FIG. 6. (a) *IV* characteristics for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$ with I_c $= 0.302 \pm 0.005$ and $\beta = 2.62 \pm 0.1$. (b) *IV* characteristics for $f = 0$ and $p=0.65$ with $I_c = 0.039 \pm 0.001$ and $\beta = 2.37 \pm 0.1$. (c) *IV* characteristics for $f=2/5$ and $p=0.65$ with $I_c=0.035\pm0.002$ and β $= 2.27 \pm 0.05.$

the functions $y(T) = C1T^{-C2}$ are obtained by linear fitting of the log *T*-log *V* curves. The current at which the SD is minimum is defined as the critical current. The critical current is then determined to be 0.302 ± 0.001 . Simultaneously, we obtain the exponent $1/\delta = 1.688 \pm 0.001$ from the slope of log *T*-log *V* curve at $I_c = 0.302$. The similar method is applied to investigate the cases $f=0$ and $p=0.65$ and $f=2/5$ and p = 0.65. As shown in Figs. [7](#page-4-1)(b) and 7(c), the critical current I_c and critical exponent $1/\delta$ for $f=0$ and $p=0.65$ are determined to be 0.038 75 \pm 0.0005 and 2.24 \pm 0.02, respectively, for $f = 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$, the result is $I_c = 0.034 \pm 0.001$ and $1/\delta = 2.29 \pm 0.01$.

FIG. 7. (a) $\log T$ -log *V* curves for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$ around I_c with $I_c = 0.302 \pm 0.001$ and $1/\delta = 1.688 \pm 0.001$. (b) log *T*-log *V* curves for $f=0$ and $p=0.65$ around I_c with $I_c=0.03875\pm0.0005$ and $1/\delta = 2.24 \pm 0.02$. (c) log *T*-log *V* curves for $f = 2/5$ and *p* = 0.65 around *I_c* with *I_c*= 0.034 \pm 0.001 and 1/ δ = 2.29 \pm 0.01.

We then draw the scaling plots according to Eq. (8) (8) (8) . By one-parameter tuning (only β), we get the best collapses of data in the regime $I \leq I_c$ with $\beta = 2.61 \pm 0.02$ and 2.28 ± 0.02 for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$ and $f=0$ and $p=0.65$, respectively, which are shown in Figs. $8(a)$ $8(a)$ and $8(b)$. For $f=0$ and p $= 0.86$, this curve can be fitted by $S(x) = 0.0994 \exp(1.9x)$, combined with the relation $\beta \delta = 1.55$, suggesting a non-Arrhenius creep motion. However, for the strongly sitediluted system with $f=0$ and $p=0.65$, the scaling curve can be fitted by $S(x) = 0.037 \exp(0.5x)$, combined with the relation $\beta \delta \approx 1.0$, indicative of an Arrhenius creep motion. Inter-estingly, as displayed in Fig. [8](#page-5-5)(c) for $f = 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$, the exponent β is found to be 2.30 ± 0.02, which yields $\beta\delta$ \approx 1.0. The scaling curve in the regime $I \leq I_c$ can be fitted by $S(x)=0.105 \exp(0.25x)$. These two combined facts suggest an Arrhenius creep motion in this case.

FIG. 8. (a) Scaling plot for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$ with $I_c=0.302$, $1/\delta = 1.688$, and $\beta \delta = 1.55$. (b) Scaling plot for $f = 0$ and $p = 0.65$ with $I_c = 0.03875$, $1/\delta = 2.24$, and $\beta \delta \approx 1.0$. (c) Scaling plot for *f* $= 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$ with $I_c = 0.034$, $1/\delta = 2.29$, and $\beta \delta \approx 1.0$.

It is worthwhile to note that both the FTPT and the LTCM for strongly disordered JJAs $(p=0.65)$ with and without frustration are very similar. The *I*-*V* curves in low temperature for all three cases can be described by $V \propto T^{1/\delta} \exp[A(1$ $-I_c/I$)/ $T^{\beta\delta}$; this is one of the main characteristics of glass phases[,17,](#page-6-8)[26](#page-6-19) while the *I*-*V* traces for KT-type phases can be fitted to $V \propto I^a$. Hence, by the scaling Ansätze in Eq. ([8](#page-3-3)), we have provided another evidence for the existence of non-KTtype phases in the low-temperature regime for these three cases $(f=0, p=0.86; f=0, p=0.65; f=2/5, p=0.65)$.

IV. SUMMARY

To explore the properties of various critical phenomena in site-diluted JJAs, we performed large-scale simulations for two typical percolative strengths $p=0.86$ and $p=0.65$ as in a recent experimental work.⁹ We investigated the FTPT, the ZTDT, and the LTCM in these systems. The RSJ dynamics was applied in our work, from which we measured the *I*-*V* characteristics at different temperatures.

The results obtained in this work about FTPT are well consistent with the recent experimental findings in Ref. [9](#page-6-3) and are inconsistent with the earlier experimental study in Ref. [1,](#page-5-1) possibly due to the large noise in the measurement of voltage in Ref. [1](#page-5-1) (larger than 0.2 nV), which was considerably reduced in the experiments by Yun *et al.*[9](#page-6-3) The evidence for non-KT-type phase transition was revealed by two different scaling Ansätze [Eqs. (5) (5) (5) and (8) (8) (8)]. Our results also shed some light on the various phases and the phase transitions where the different divergent correlations at various disorder strengths were suggested, and the critical exponents were evaluated. Furthermore, the results in this paper are useful for understanding not only the site-diluted systems but also the whole class of disordered JJAs; for instance, the combination of two different phase transitions may exist in other disordered JJAs systems.

In addition, the ZTDT and the LTCM were also touched. It was demonstrated by the scaling analysis that the creep law for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$ is a non-Arrhenius type while those for $f=0$ and $p=0.65$ and $f=2/5$ and $p=0.65$ belong to the Arrhenius type. It is interesting to note that the non-Arrhenius type creep law for $f=0$ and $p=0.86$ is similar to that in three-dimensional flux lines with a weak collective pinning.¹⁷ The product of the two exponents, 1.55, is also very close to $3/2$ determined in Ref. [17.](#page-6-8) For $f=0$ and *p* $= 0.65$ and $f = 2/5$ and $p = 0.65$, the observed Arrhenius type creep law is also similar to that in the glass states of flux lines with a strong collective pinning as in Ref. [17.](#page-6-8) Future experimental work is needed to clarify this observation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10774128, PCSIRT (Grant No. IRT0754) from the University in China, National Basic Research Program of China Grants No. 2006CB601003 and No. 2009CB929104), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation under Grant No. Z7080203, and the Program for Innovative Research Team in Zhejiang Normal University.

- ¹D. C. Harris, S. T. Herbert, D. Stroud, and J. C. Garland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3606 (1991).
- $2E$. Granato and D. Domínguez, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 14671 (1997).
- ³M. Benakli, E. Granato, S. R. Shenoy, and M. Gabay, Phys. Rev. B 57, 10314 (1998).
- ⁴E. Granato and D. Dominguez, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 094507 (2001).
- 5Y. J. Yun, I. C. Baek, and M. Y. Choi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**,

^{*}Corresponding author: qhchen@zju.edu.cn

037004 (2002).

- 6 E. Granato and D. Dominguez, Phys. Rev. B 71 , 094521 (2005).
- ⁷ J. S. Lim, M. Y. Choi, B. J. Kim, and J. Choi, Phys. Rev. B **71**, $100505(R)$ (2005).
- ⁸ J. Um, B. J. Kim, P. Minnhagen, M. Y. Choi, and S. I. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 74, 094516 (2006).
- 9Y. J. Yun, I. C. Baek, and M. Y. Choi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 215701 (2006).
- 10Y. J. Yun, I. C. Baek, and M. Y. Choi, Europhys. Lett. **76**, 271 $(2006).$
- 11C. J. Lobb, D. W. Abraham, and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 150 (1983); M. Prester, *ibid.* **54**, 606 (1996).
- ¹² J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **6**, 1181 (1973); J. M. Kosterlitz, *ibid.* 7, 1046 (1974); V. L. Berezinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 34, 610 (1972); V. L. Berezinskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 61, 1144 (1971).
- ¹³ T. Nattermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2454 (1990).
- 14P. Chauve, T. Giamarchi, and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 6241 (2000).
- 15M. Müller, D. A. Gorokhov, and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 184305 (2001).
- 16L. Roters, A. Hucht, S. Lubeck, U. Nowak, and K. D. Usadel, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 5202 (1999).
- ¹⁷M. B. Luo and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 267002 (2007).
- ¹⁸ P. Olsson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 097001 (2007); Q. H. Chen,

Phys. Rev. B **78**, 104501 (2008).

- ¹⁹ P. Olsson and S. Teitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 137001 (2001).
- ²⁰Q. H. Chen and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 117005 (2003); Phys. Rev. B **75**, 064504 (2007).
- 21 T. Gebele, J. Phys. A 17, L51 (1984); Y. Leroyer and E. Pommiers, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 2795 (1994).
- 22Q. H. Chen, L. H. Tang, and P. Tong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 067001 (2001); L. H. Tang and Q. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 024508 (2003).
- 23D. S. Fisher, M. P. A. Fisher, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 130 (1991).
- 24H. Yang, Y. Jia, L. Shan, Y. Z. Zhang, H. H. Wen, C. G. Zhuang, Z. K. Liu, Q. Li, Y. Cui, and X. X. Xi, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 134513 $(2007).$
- ²⁵ J. Holzer, R. S. Newrock, C. J. Lobb, T. Aouaroun, and S. T. Herbert, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 184508 (2001).
- 26Q. H. Chen, J. P. Lv, and H. Liu, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 054519 $(2008).$
- 27 P. Holme and P. Olsson, Europhys. Lett. 60 , 439 (2002).
- ²⁸ H. G. Katzgraber, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 180402(R) (2003); H. G. Katzgraber and A. P. Young, *ibid.* 66, 224507 (2002); Y. Deng, T. M. Garoni, W. A. Guo, H. W. J. Blote, and A. D. Sokal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 120601 (2007).
- ²⁹ D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1486 (1983); Phys. Rev. B **31**, 1396 (1985).